United States District Court District of Massachusetts

LUIS RIVERA,

Petitioner,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2004-12717-RGS

DAVID NOLAN, Superintendent, Respondent.

SCHEDULING ORDER

COLLINGS, U.S.M.J.

The Court of Appeals has directed that the Court consider the Petitioner's argument that his petition is not time-barred because at the time he filed his Petition, one-year had not run from "...the date on which the factual predicate of [his] claims could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence." 28 U.S.C. §2244(d)(1)(D).

Accordingly, the petitioner shall file and serve, on or before the close of business on Monday, December 24, 2007, a memorandum setting forth the facts and law which form the basis of his assertion that "the factual predicate of [his]

claims could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence" before one year of the date upon which he filed his Petition.

The respondent shall file and serve a brief in opposition to the petition's 2244(d)(1)(D) claim *on or before the close of business on Friday, January 11,* 2008.

/s/ Robert B. Collings

ROBERT B. COLLINGS
November 20, 2007. United States Magistrate Judge